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The Problem....

How can you grow ag-fluency in a population of undergraduate students who are primarily urban or international?
Alberta’s Farm Population

Population (Thousands)

Year


Population of Alberta:

1991: 2545.6
1996: 2696.8
2001: 2974.8
2006: 3290.3
2011: 3568

Population of Alberta Farm:

1991: 176.9
1996: 188.5
2001: 161.7
2006: 147.6
2011: 129.8

Percentage Increase:

Alberta: 6.9%
Alberta Farm: 3.6%

http://www.statcan.gc.ca/eng/ca2011/ha#a1-1
Enrolment in B.Sc. Agriculture Program at the University of Alberta (1985-2014)
Enrolment in B.Sc. Agriculture and the B.Sc. Animal Health Programs at the University of Alberta (2005-2014)
Objectives:

- To provide students with the opportunity to learn about the realities of animal agriculture in Alberta by first-hand interaction with poultry and livestock producers.
- To provide a framework for students to learn about key issues facing Alberta poultry and livestock producers to build ag-fluency.
- To assist students to build and refine communication skills (individual and within small groups) by interacting with Alberta producers in a structured setting.
Options to Build Ag Fluency

Take students out to meet farmers on their farms.

- Time table issues
- Expensive travel
- Risk management
- Contact would be limited to 1 or 2 producers
Options to Build Ag Fluency

- Take students out to meet farmers on their farms.
- Bring farmers onto campus to meet students in their classrooms.
Project Outline:

- A rural café was held at Alumni House of the University of Alberta in the afternoons of March 16, 17 and 18. Coffee and cookies were served.
- Six or seven Alberta animal producers were invited to attend the rural café each day. Several producers brought other family members.
- At each lab session (Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday) each student was randomly assigned to five table groups (four to six students per group).
# Producers Participating in the Rural Café

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beef</td>
<td>Beef</td>
<td>Beef</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy</td>
<td>Dairy</td>
<td>Dairy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swine</td>
<td>Swine</td>
<td>Swine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>Sheep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table Egg Layers</td>
<td>Turkeys</td>
<td>Broiler Hatching Eggs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dairy Goats</td>
<td>Organic</td>
<td>Bison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broilers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Outline:

- Each farmer was seated at a table with chairs for six students. Each group of students had 25 minutes to engage in conversation with a producer. At 30 minute intervals, each group of students rotated so that by the end of the afternoon, each student group had a chance to meet with all six producers.
- Each student served as table host and commentator for one farmer (key responsibility but not the sole one).
- The students prepared a list of potential questions for the producers, around the general topic of “what makes or breaks your operation”? 
Project Outline:

- Students were encouraged to record the conversations rather than be occupied with taking notes.
- The following week, the six students who were the table hosts for each farmer got together as a group in the lab session to prepare a summary of what their producer had to say.
- Two weeks after the rural café, students presented a summary of the producer comments to the entire lab session.
Grading rubric for 15% of term marks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective/Criteria</th>
<th>Performance Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content of presentation</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of presentation (in lab)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Originality and creativity</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Impression</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group rating of individual student input</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

/50
Feedback:

- **Students:**
  - very positive overall
  - 25 minutes seemed too short for meaningful dialogue
  - Class presentations were too short
  - Too many marks for a short presentation

- **Producers:**
  - very positive feedback
  - would have liked more time for group discussions
  - would have liked flex time to follow-up with individual students (employment, career options etc.)
Future Considerations:

- Host the Rural Café in a rural area
- Have the students identify and invite producers they know
- Extend the event with a reception after the dialogue sessions to provide time for one-on-one conversations
- Host a “Careers in Agriculture” based Rural Café at the end of the term to help students see where they might fit into the agricultural scene
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